Kyosuke Satake,* Shizuka Takami, † Yuko Tawada, and Masaru Kimura[†]

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, 3-1-1 Tsushima-Naka, Okayama 700-

8530, Japan

[†]Institute for Fundamental Research of Organic Chemistry (IFOC), Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki,

Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

Received March 28, 2002

Different photochemical behavior between 3,6- and 2,5-di-*t*-butyl-3*H*-azepine was observed. The former gave wavelength dependent products 3,5-di*t*-butylpyridine on irradiation through Pyrex filter *via* photolysis and 4,7-di-*t*-butyl-2-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-2,6-diene on irradiation through quartz filter *via* photo-isomerization. Meanwhile, 2,5-di-*t*-butyl derivative gave exclusively a labile 2,5-di-*t*-butyl-6-azabicyclo-[3.2.0]hepta-2,6-diene on irradiation through photo-isomerization mode of the bond formation between 2- and 6-position of the ring.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 39, 1337(2002).

One of the typical behavior of cycloheptatriene (CHT) on photo-irradiation is the photoelectrocyclic isomerization giving strained bicyclo[3.2.0]heptadiene ring system. Similarly, photochemical behavior of 3H-azepine has been described by the exclusive formation of 2-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-2,6-diene system via bond formation between 4- and 7-position of the ring (4,7-closure) based on the irradiation of 2-alkyloxy- and 2-dialkylamino-3Hazepine derivatives, which were considered to be stabilized azatriene system by iminoether or amidine conjugation [1]. Strangely enough, the other possible bond formation between 2- and 6-position (2,6-closure) giving 6azabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-2,6-diene system has never been observed (Scheme 1). Although, unsubstituted 3H-azepine has been found to be a labile substance, [2] we developed di-t-butyl-3H-azepines as another stable class of 3Hazepines, which are not stable due to conjugative stabilization, but rather due to steric protection by the bulky *t*-butyl substituents [3]. Therefore, their behavior upon irradiation can be expected to be different than that previously reported.



Photoirradiation [4] of 3,6-di-*t*-butyl-3*H*-azepine (1, 68 mg, 0.33 mmol) in hexane (110 ml) at room temperature through a Pyrex filter for 24 h gave 3,5-di-*t*-butylpyridine (3) in 8% yield with 48% recovery of 1, however no photoisomer was found in the reaction mixture. A similar photochemical ring contraction reaction of 2-methoxy-3*H*-azepine derivative has been reported [5]. The reaction may be explained through the initial formation of azanorcaradi-

ene intermediate **2** followed by the subsequent elimination of methylene. On irradiation through quartz filter of a hexane (100 ml) solution of **1** (98 mg, 0.48 mmol) for 3 h, photoisomers 4,7-di-*t*-butyl-2-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-2,6diene (**4**) via 4,7-closure and 3,6-di-*t*-butyl-2*H*-azepine (**5**) via photochemically allowed 1,7-hydrogen shift [6] were obtained in 26% and 12% yield, respectively, with 43% recovery of **1**. The structures **3** [7], **4** [8], and **5** [3] obtained here were confirmed by respective comparison with reported data. The regioselectivity of the photoelectrocyclization for **1** was found to be similar to other previously reported 3*H*-azepines [1].

Meanwhile, the direct irradiation of 2,5-di-t-butyl-3Hazepine (6) in hexane resulted in a complete decomposition of 6. Even the *in situ* observation, during irradiation of cyclohexane-d₁₂ solution of 6 by means of NMR spectroscopy, no clear products were observed. On the other hand, when a sealed NMR tube containing a cyclohexaned₁₂ (0.7 ml) solution of 6 (13 mg, 63 µmol) was irradiated through a Pyrex filter at room temperature, peaks attributed 6 decreased concurrent with the increase of a new peak set attributed to 2,5-di-t-butyl-6-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-2,6diene (7) [9]. After 2.5 h, the relative ratio 6:7 reached to 22:78 on the basis of peak area of C7–H (7.29, 1H) for 6 and a proton at 8.16 for 7. Unfortunately, isolation of 7 was unsuccessful owing to its instability. In the ¹H NMR, 7 exhibits two olefinic protons at 5.35 and 8.16, two methylene protons with geminal coupling constant of 18.0 Hz at 2.22 and 2.53, a bridge head proton at 3.64, and two *t*butyl singlet signals at 0.92 and 1.05. The ¹³C NMR spectrum also is instrumental in the elucidation of the 2-azabicyclo[3.2.0]hepta-2,6-diene ring structure. Characteristic signals observed at $_{C}$ 182.1 (d) and $_{H}$ 8.16 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H) can be assigned to imine-carbon and adjacent imine-proton of azetine ring, respectively. Observed shifts are inconsistent with the reported chemical shifts for the imine moiety of 1-azetine whose shifts are C 187.0, H 8.22 [10]. Thus



observed 2,6-closure reaction was further confirmed by the formation of methanol addition product **8**. Irradiation of **6** (101 mg, 0.49 mmol) in methanol (100 ml) through Pyrex filter for 3 h resulted in 80% conversion from starting azepine **6** to **8** by monitoring with ¹H NMR. Chromatographic work up for purification of **8** reproduced **6** again *via* elimination of methanol in 70% yield.

Electronic spectra of 1 and 6 are shown in Figure 1 along with that of cycloheptatriene. Although, no remarkable difference in electronic spectra between 1 and 6 is observed, their behavior upon irradiation is found to be entirely different each. Interestingly, it is found that the regioselectivity for photoelectrocyclization is not exclusively caused by the steric hindrance of a product. This is clear because the more sterically hindered cyclization occurred to give 7, while the sterically favorable product formed from 1. To clarify the difference in photochemical behavior, further details in the photochemistry of 3H-azepines are underway.

Acknowledgment.

We thank the SC-NMR Laboratory of Okayama University for ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectral measurements.



Figure 1. Electronic spectra for 3,6-di-*t*-butyl-3*H*-azepine (1), 2,5-di-*t*-butyl-3*H*-azepine (6) and cycloheptatriene (CHT) in ethanol.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

[1] R. A. Odum and B. Schmall, *J. Chem. Research (s)*, 276 (1997); R. A. Odum and B. Schmall, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1209 (1969).

[2] E. Vogel, H.–J. Altenbach, J.–M. Drossard, H. Schmickler, and H. Stegelmeier, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **13**, 1016 (1980).

[3] K. Satake, R. Okuda, M. Hashimoto, Y. Fujiwara, I. Watadani, H. Okamoto, M. Kimura, and S. Morosawa, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1154 (1991); K. Satake, R. Okuda, M. Hashimoto, Y. Fujiwara, H. Okamoto, M. Kimura, and S. Morosawa, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1753 (1994).

[4] Photoirradiation experiments described on this paper were carried out with a 100 W high-pressure mercury lamp.

[5] M. Ogata, H. Matsumoto, and H. Kano, *Tetrahedron*, **25**, 5217 (1969).

[6] R. J. Srinivasan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 3432 (1962); A. P. ter Boorg and H. Kloosterziel, *Rec. Trav. Chim.*, 84, 241 (1965); T. Tezuka, M. Kimura, A. Sato, and T. Mukai, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 43, 1120 (1970).

[7] C. K. Cheung, R. S. Wedinger and W. J. le Noble, *J. Org. Chem.*, **54**, 570 (1989).

[8] K. Satake, H. Saitoh, M. Kimura, and S. Morosawa, *Heterocycles*, **38**, 769 (1994).

[9] Compound **7**; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, cyclohexane-d₁2): 0.92 (s, 9H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 2.22 (ddd, J = 18.0, 2.4, and 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dd, J = 18.0 and 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dd, J = 3.6 and 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (67 MHz, cyclohexane-d₁₂): 24.9 (q), 29.6 (q), 33.6 (s), 34.0 (s), 35.0 (t), 54.2 (d), 86.3 (s), 123.7 (d), 150.8 (s), 182.1 (d); MS (GCMS) m/z 205 (M⁺, 0.4%), 190 (12), 163 (28), 107 (62), 57 (100).

[10] J. C. Guilemin, J. M. Denis and A. Lablache-Combier, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **103**, 468 (1981).

Compound **8**; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.87 (s, 9H), 1.06 (s, 9H), 1.99 (br s, 1H), 2.12 (ddd, J = 17.7, 2.4, and 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dd, J = 17.7 and 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (dd, J = 2.4 and 1.7 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 4.35 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H).